

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/737,138	12/16/2003	Harry E. Eaton	EH-10905(03-245)	6329
34704	7590 12/16/2005		EXAMINER	
BACHMAN & LAPOINTE, P.C. 900 CHAPEL STREET			MCNEIL, JE	ENNIFER C
SUITE 1201	STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW HAVEN	N, CT 06510		1775	

DATE MAILED: 12/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Li Li	>		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/737,138	EATON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jennifer C. McNeil	1775			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions for the provision of the second of the period for reply will, by state that the mail of the period for the period for reply will, by state that the mail of the period for reply will, by state that the period for the period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will be set to be supported by the office later than three months after the mail of the period for	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS f tute, cause the application to become ABANDO	ION. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u>	July 2005.				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ The					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	ccepted or b) objected to by the	ne Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the com-					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).			
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docume</li> </ol>	ents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	• •				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	•	eived in this National Stage			
application from the International Bure	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Sived			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies not rece	evea.			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		al Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/737,138

Art Unit: 1775

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

Claim 12 is objected to because of the following informalities: Line 8, should "layer" be – layers--? Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-11, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter that was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Claim 1 refers to a silicon-based substrate having two layers thereon. The layers are referred to with functional language only. Applicant is not enabled for a substrate of silicon-based material having two layers thereon made of any type of material. Claims merely setting forth physical characteristics desired in article, and not setting forth specific compositions which would meet such characteristics, are invalid as vague, indefinite, and functional since they cover any conceivable combination of ingredients either presently existing or which might be discovered in future and which would impart desired characteristics, (*Ex parte Slob*, 157 USPQ 172).

Application/Control Number: 10/737,138

Art Unit: 1775

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: The claims do not denote the structural relationship between the top layer and the barrier layer. It is not clear which layer is closer to the substrate.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-5, 8-15, 17, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lee (US 6,759,151). Lee teaches a silicon containing substrate such as SiC having a coating thereon. The coating comprises a layer of mullite and BSAS, a layer of mullite, and layer of hafnia containing composite oxide, or zirconia containing composite oxide. As clearly shown in the figures, each layer contains porosity (col. 9, lines 40-45). As the layers are made of materials commensurate with the claims, the characteristics are also fully expected to be similar.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beltran (EP 0605196) in view of Spitsberg et al (US 6,557,814). Beltran teaches a thermal barrier coating comprising a first layer of YSZ and a second layer of YSZ having porosity of 10-20%. Beltran does not teach additional substrates to which the thermal barrier coating may be applied. Spitsberg teaches a thermal barrier coating system including a zirconia layer which may be disposed on either a metal substrate (like that of Beltran) or a silicon containing substrate. As it is clearly taught by Spitsberg that thermal barrier coatings having ceramics and other oxides such as zirconia are suitable for both metal and silicon containing substrates, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply the coatings of Beltran to a silicon containing substrate. Spitsberg clearly teaches that the turbine engine components that benefit from the thermal barrier coatings are made of both types of substrates, and are suitable for use with the ceramic coatings.

Regarding the composition of the two layers, the claims state the EBC layer may comprise an oxide of zirconia, and the barrier layer may also comprise zirconia. Regarding claims 11 and 17, Beltran teaches that the zirconia may be stabilized with 6-22 wt% yttria.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer C. McNeil whose telephone number is 571-272-1540. The examiner can normally be reached on 9AM-6PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on 571-272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jennifer C McNeil Primary Examiner Art Unit 1775

JCM